



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2011

---

## **Critical surface albedo and its implications to aerosol remote sensing**

Seidel, F C ; Popp, C

**Abstract:** We analyse the critical surface albedo (CSA) and its implications to aerosol remote sensing. CSA is defined as the surface albedo, where the reflectance at top-of- atmosphere (TOA) does not depend on aerosol optical depth (AOD). AOD retrievals are therefore inaccurate at the CSA. The CSA is obtained by derivatives of the TOA reflectance with respect to AOD using a radiative transfer code. We present the CSA and the effect of surface albedo uncertainties on AOD retrieval and atmospheric correction as a function of aerosol single-scattering albedo, illumination and observation geometry, wavelength and AOD. In general, increasing aerosol absorption and increasing scattering angles lead to lower CSA. We show that the CSA also depends on AOD, which was often neglected in previous studies. The following implications to aerosol remote sensing applications were found: (i) surface albedo uncertainties result in large AOD retrieval errors, particularly close to the CSA; (ii) AOD retrievals of non-absorbing aerosols require dark surfaces, while strong absorbing aerosols can be retrieved more accurately over bright surfaces; (iii) the CSA may help to estimate aerosol absorption; and (iv) the presented sensitivity of the reflectance at TOA to AOD provides error estimations to optimise AOD retrieval algorithms.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amtd-4-7725-2011>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-53914>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Seidel, F C; Popp, C (2011). Critical surface albedo and its implications to aerosol remote sensing. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 4(6):7725-7750.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amtd-4-7725-2011>

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in AMT if available.

# Critical surface albedo and its implications to aerosol remote sensing

F. C. Seidel<sup>1,\*</sup> and C. Popp<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Remote Sensing Laboratories, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstr. 190,  
8057 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstr. 129,  
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

*\*Invited contribution by F. C. Seidel, recipient of the EGU Outstanding Student Poster Award 2011.*

Received: 30 September 2011 – Accepted: 8 December 2011  
– Published: 20 December 2011

Correspondence to: F. C. Seidel (felix.seidel@geo.uzh.ch)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**AMTD**

4, 7725–7750, 2011

**Critical surface  
albedo and AOD  
retrieval**

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

We analyse the critical surface albedo (CSA) and its implications to aerosol remote sensing. CSA is defined as the surface albedo, where the reflectance at top-of-atmosphere (TOA) does not depend on aerosol optical depth (AOD). AOD retrievals are therefore inaccurate at the CSA. The CSA is obtained by derivatives of the TOA reflectance with respect to AOD using a radiative transfer code. We present the CSA and the effect of surface albedo uncertainties on AOD retrieval and atmospheric correction as a function of aerosol single-scattering albedo, illumination and observation geometry, wavelength and AOD. In general, increasing aerosol absorption and increasing scattering angles lead to lower CSA. We show that the CSA also depends on AOD, which was often neglected in previous studies. The following implications to aerosol remote sensing applications were found: (i) surface albedo uncertainties result in large AOD retrieval errors, particularly close to the CSA; (ii) AOD retrievals of non-absorbing aerosols require dark surfaces, while strong absorbing aerosols can be retrieved more accurately over bright surfaces; (iii) the CSA may help to estimate aerosol absorption; and (iv) the presented sensitivity of the reflectance at TOA to AOD provides error estimations to optimise AOD retrieval algorithms.

## 1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols can affect human health (e.g. Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002) and they have a significant influence on the Earth's radiation budget by scattering and absorbing electromagnetic radiation (direct effect) or by cloud formation in their role as cloud condensation nuclei (indirect effect) (e.g. Ramanathan et al., 2001; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; IPCC, 2007). Remote sensing from space has made important contributions to our knowledge on the spatio-temporal distribution and optical properties of aerosols. Aerosol remote sensing has helped to reduce the large uncertainty

AMTD

4, 7725–7750, 2011

### Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



regarding their impact on climate (IPCC, 2007). Many spaceborne sensors allow to retrieve the total vertical columnar aerosol scattering and absorption (extinction), known as aerosol optical depth (AOD). However, the retrieval of AOD is a challenging task and requires accurate prior knowledge of aerosol micro-physical and optical properties, such as size distribution, single-scattering albedo (SSA) and phase function. Further, it requires information on the directional surface reflectance factor and the state of the atmosphere (e.g. ozone and water vapour concentrations). AOD retrieval algorithms require a correct discrimination of the measured upwelling radiance (or reflectance) into a part originating from molecule and aerosol scattering and a part caused by the reflecting Earth's surface.

Numerous studies demonstrated that the estimation of the surface albedo and related uncertainties are a major source of errors in AOD retrievals (e.g. Teillet et al., 1994; Kaufman et al., 1997; Popp et al., 2007; Kokhanovsky and Leeuw, 2009; Seidel et al., 2011). It was also shown that a certain range of surface albedo values provides difficulties for AOD retrievals where changes in aerosol scattering cancel out changes in aerosol absorption. The measured radiance at top-of-atmosphere (TOA) becomes therefore insensitive to AOD changes. Fraser and Kaufman (1985) analysed and defined this surface albedo with regard to aerosol remote sensing applications as the critical surface reflectance. In this study, we will use the term critical surface albedo (CSA) to avoid possible confusions with reflectance functions (Eqs. 1, 2, and 5). Note that the CSA could represent either albedo or any reflectance factor, according to the use of term  $a$  in Eq. (5). A few studies have taken advantage of the CSA to gain information about aerosol absorption from remote sensing measurements, which requires a good estimate as well as some albedo variability of the underlying surface in multiple pixels (Kaufman, 1987). For example, de Almeida Castanho et al. (2008) could improve MODIS AOD retrievals over Sao Paulo, Brazil by estimating SSA prior to the AOD inversion using the CSA. Recently, Zhu et al. (2011) derived the absorption of biomass burning aerosols from MODIS applying the CSA method. The CSA is also of relevance for the Earth's radiation budget because the combination of absorption and

surface albedo defines whether an aerosol layer leads to positive or negative aerosol forcing at TOA (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998; Satheesh, 2002).

The objective of this study is to describe and analyse the CSA and the related AOD retrieval sensitivity as a function of aerosol properties under several observational conditions. Furthermore, our study aims at contributing to a better understanding of AOD retrieval sensitivities to surface albedo and related uncertainties.

## 2 Method and data

### 2.1 Radiative transfer calculation

Remote sensing data are complex in nature and influenced by many and often unknown parameters. We base our analysis of the CSA therefore on radiative transfer (RT) calculations to simulate different atmospheric and surface conditions for various satellite observation geometries. The RT equation can be solved approximately by e.g. the method of successive orders of scattering. We use here a vectorised version of the Second Simulation of a Satellite Signal in the Solar Spectrum (6S) RT model (Vermote et al., 1997; Kotchenova et al., 2006, 2008).

The RT model calculates the atmospheric coupled molecular-aerosol (ATM) and surface (SFC) contribution to the total reflectance at top-of-atmosphere (TOA):

$$R_{\lambda}^{\text{TOA}} = R_{\lambda}^{\text{ATM}} + R_{\lambda}^{\text{SFC}}. \quad (1)$$

The atmospheric intrinsic reflectance is given by the sum of single- and multiple-scattering (MS):

$$R_{\lambda}^{\text{ATM}} = \frac{\omega_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(\Theta)}{4 (\mu_0 + \mu)} \left( 1 - e^{-\tau_{\lambda}[\mu_0^{-1} + \mu^{-1}]} \right) + R_{\lambda}^{\text{MS}}, \quad (2)$$

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where

$$\omega_{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma_{\lambda}^{\text{sca}}}{\sigma_{\lambda}^{\text{ext}}}, \quad (3)$$

is the SSA with the scattering ( $\sigma_{\lambda}^{\text{sca}}$ ) and extinction ( $\sigma_{\lambda}^{\text{ext}}$ ) efficiency,  $P_{\lambda}(\Theta)$  is the scattering phase function (see Fig. 1) for polarised solar radiation as a function of the forward (+) or backward (−) scattering angle:

$$\Theta = \arccos \left[ \pm \mu_0 \mu + \cos(\phi_0 - \phi) \sqrt{(1 - \mu_0^2)(1 - \mu^2)} \right], \quad (4)$$

with  $\mu = \cos\theta$  and  $\mu_0 = \cos\theta_0$ , where  $\theta$  is the viewing (VZA) and  $\theta_0$  the solar zenith angle (SZA), and with the solar and viewing azimuth angle (VAA)  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi$ , respectively. We assume isotropically reflected light from a homogeneous surface according to Lambert's law (Ångström, 1925; Chandrasekhar, 1960; Sobolev, 1972) by:

$$R_{\lambda}^{\text{SFC}} = \frac{a_{\lambda}}{1 - a_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}} T_{\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

where  $T_{\lambda} = T_{\lambda}^{\downarrow} T_{\lambda}^{\uparrow}$  is the total down- and upwelling transmittance with  $T_{\lambda}^{\downarrow} = e^{-\frac{\tau_{\lambda}}{\mu_0}} + t_{\lambda}^{\text{dfs}\downarrow}$  and  $T_{\lambda}^{\uparrow} = e^{-\frac{\tau_{\lambda}}{\mu}} + t_{\lambda}^{\text{dfs}\uparrow}$ , where  $t_{\lambda}^{\text{dfs}}$  denotes the diffuse transmittance (Note: we neglect gaseous absorptions for this study) and where  $a_{\lambda}$  is the surface albedo and  $s_{\lambda}$  is the spherical albedo to account for multiple surface and atmosphere scattering interactions.

## 2.2 Synthetic data

A synthetic dataset of TOA reflectances were computed with various single-scattering albedo, illumination and observation geometries, wavelengths and AOD values. An overview of the different parameters and their discretisation is given in Table 1. Besides geometrical parameters ( $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi_0$ ,  $\theta_0$ ), surface albedo values from zero to unity

### Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



are integrated to represent all possible surface types and clouds. In addition, simulations are performed at the wavelength 412 nm, 550 nm, and 865 nm to investigate the spectral dependence of the CSA. The AOD ranges from zero to unity which is representative of a majority of aerosol loadings, except of extreme aerosol events such as dense desert dust outbreaks or volcanic smoke plumes close to its source. For example, Riffler et al. (2010) found from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET, Holben et al., 1998) sun photometer measurements in central Europe that less than 1 % of AOD (550 nm) exceed 0.8. Zhang and Reid (2010) presented similar results from satellite measurements for other regions in the world.

Micro-physical and optical properties of the aerosol models used in the RT calculations are given in Table 2. The continental, urban, and maritime aerosol models are composed from specific mixtures of basic components (water-soluble, soot, dust, and oceanic) described by d’Almeida et al. (1991). The desert and stratospheric aerosol models were adopted from Dubovik et al. (2002) and Russell et al. (1996), respectively. The herein used aerosol models span a large range of SSA from highly absorbing (e.g. urban aerosol type with  $\omega_{550\text{nm}} = 0.69$ ) to completely non-absorbing (stratospheric aerosol type with  $\omega_{550\text{nm}} = 1.0$ ). Note that the SSA usually decreases for longer wavelength, except for the desert aerosol type used in this study. The aerosol and Rayleigh phase functions, as well as the corresponding total phase functions are given in Fig. 1 since  $P_\lambda$  has a direct influence on atmospheric intrinsic reflectance ( $R_\lambda^{\text{ATM}}$ , c.f. Eq. 2).

### 2.3 Critical surface albedo

Figure 2 shows the relationship between the modelled TOA reflectance as function of surface albedo for a continental aerosol model with different AOD values. In general, the TOA reflectance is increasing with increasing AOD for darker surfaces and decreasing with increasing AOD for brighter surfaces. The TOA reflectance in Fig. 2a becomes practically independent of AOD at roughly 0.18 surface albedo. Aerosol scattering is increasing as much as the aerosol absorption is decreasing the TOA reflectance at this particular surface albedo. Figure 2 shows that the CSA slightly decreases with

wavelength for the continental aerosol model due to decreasing SSA with wavelength. Figure 2b zooms to the CSA and shows that not all TOA reflectance curves crossing each other at the same surface albedo. Thus, there are multiple CSA, which is therefore also more or less a function of AOD.

Figure 3 provides additional TOA reflectance calculations at 550 nm for a maritime (Fig. 3a), urban (Fig. 3b), and desert (Fig. 3c) aerosol model. Absorbing aerosol types have a much lower CSA, e.g. the urban model with a very low SSA (550 nm) of 0.69 has the CSA at 0.07, while the desert model with an SSA (550 nm) of 0.97 has the CSA at roughly 0.32.

In principle, the CSA can be determined by solving (minimizing) the over-determined systems of equations, i.e. TOA reflectance as a function of different AOD values. Previous studies (e.g. Kaufman, 1987; de Almeida Castanho et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2011) assumed a linear relationship between TOA reflectance and surface albedo. However, this might lead to some deviations considering the non-linear relation revealed especially at shorter wavelengths (c.f. Figs. 2 and 3). For this reason, we calculate the derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to AOD. A fifth order polynomial provides a continuous and differentiable function, which is fitting well to the TOA reflectance (Eq. 1) with an average reflectance error of less than 0.0002. Thus, the derivative is given by:

$$\frac{dR_{\lambda}^{\text{TOA}}}{d\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=5} i c_i [\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}}]^{i-1} \quad (6)$$

where  $c_i$  denote the polynomial coefficient of order  $i$ . The CSA is defined where  $R_{\lambda}^{\text{TOA}}$  is independent from  $\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}}$ . This condition is met if Eq. (6) is equal zero.

The CSA is therefore a function of the observation and illumination geometry, wavelength, AOD and the aerosol type. The sensitivities of the CSA to these parameters are examined in Sect. 3. The derivative of the TOA reflectance with respect to AOD as a function of the surface albedo at 550 nm for the six aerosol models is plotted in Fig. 4 for three different values of AOD. In general, this relationship is almost linear for aerosol types with moderate to strong absorption characteristics (e.g. urban or continental) and

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





becomes non-linear for weakly or non-absorbing aerosol models. The CSA for the different models can be found at the interception with  $y = 0$  (dotted line). The weakly and non-absorbing aerosol types for low AOD exhibit no CSA (e.g. maritime and stratospheric in Fig. 4a and 4b). Interestingly, the desert aerosol model in Fig. 4a reveals two different CSA (approximately at 0.3 and 0.9). Further, it is noteworthy that the CSA changes with AOD, especially and most pronounced for the scattering aerosol types. For example, the CSA for the desert aerosol ( $\omega_{550\text{nm}} = 0.97$ ) increases from 0.3 with AOD = 0.05 (Fig. 4a) to 0.35 with AOD = 0.2 (Fig. 4b) and 0.4 with AOD = 1.0 (Fig. 4c).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Sensitivity analysis

##### 3.1.1 Sensitivity of top-of-atmosphere reflectance to critical surface albedo

Figure 5 shows TOA reflectance calculations as a function of CSA for the six aerosol models used in this study (see Table 2). In general, the points are aligned along the bisecting line, while the TOA reflectance is slightly larger than the CSA. Each point corresponds to an intersection of two  $R^{\text{TOA}}$  curves in Figs. 2b and 3. Figure 5 shows that the distribution of the intersections depends on aerosol absorption and therefore on the aerosol model. Lower SSA corresponds to lower CSA and vice versa. For example, the urban aerosol model ( $\omega_{550\text{nm}} = 0.69$ ) has a CSA of about 0.05 while the non-absorbing maritime and stratospheric aerosol types have a CSA higher than 0.7. The positions of the different points along the bisecting line depend on AOD (see also Sect. 2.3). The sensitivity to AOD is weaker for absorbing aerosols and thus the CSA of the urban and continental model are close together for all analysed AOD. Generally, the CSA of the different aerosol models are well separated for the given range of AOD values, except for the non-absorbing maritime and stratospheric aerosol models.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



This finding is important for the determination of aerosol types from TOA reflectance measurements.

### 3.1.2 Sensitivity of critical surface albedo to single-scattering albedo

The CSA depends strongly on the aerosol absorption efficiency or SSA ( $\omega_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}}$ ) (c.f. Eq. 3 as well as Fraser and Kaufman, 1985; Kaufman, 1987). The relation between CSA and SSA is shown in Fig. 6. Generally, lower SSA (stronger aerosol absorption) leads to lower CSA. However, the solar and observational geometry with the corresponding scattering angle and therewith the aerosol phase function, has an influence on CSA as shown by Fig. 6. The CSA is almost spectrally neutral for absorbing SSA and vice versa. The CSA of urban aerosols with  $\omega_{550\text{nm}} = 0.69$  does not change much over wavelength, while low absorbing aerosols with  $\omega_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}} > 0.9$  have a generally higher CSA at 412 nm as compared to 865 nm. In contrast, the desert aerosol model CSA is lower at 412 nm than 865 nm. According to these results, the CSA could be parametrised with respect to SSA and used for the determination of aerosol types from TOA reflectance measurements as mentioned before and demonstrated in de Almeida Castanho et al. (2008) and Zhu et al. (2011).

### 3.1.3 Sensitivity of critical surface albedo to observation and solar geometry

CSA values are given in Fig. 7 as a function of scattering angle, which corresponds to a combination of VAA, VZA, SZA according to Eq. (4). Some combinations have a common scattering angle, which leads to multiple results per scattering angle in Fig. 7. Interestingly, SSA seems to have an influence on the separation of these results. Absorbing aerosols with  $\omega < 0.95$  show a relatively distinct relation between CSA and the scattering angle (Fig. 7a). Low and non-absorbing aerosol types with  $\omega > 0.95$  show much more sensitivity of CSA to different solar- and viewing geometries and therefore different path lengths through the atmosphere (Fig. 7b). Generally, Fig. 7 shows that CSA depend on the scattering phase function (c.f. Fig. 1) and the SSA. The CSA has

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



therefore smaller values in the range of scattering angles  $\Theta \in [110^\circ, 150^\circ]$ , which corresponds to typical observation geometries of many remote sensing instruments. The minimum CSA for all investigated aerosol models can be found around  $120^\circ$ . Backward scattering geometries have significantly larger CSA. Some aerosol types have no CSA in the forward scattering direction (see Fig. 7).

### 3.1.4 Sensitivity of critical surface albedo to aerosol optical depth

The findings above have shown that CSA depends often on AOD. Figure 8 illustrates the relation between CSA and AOD in more details. Figure 8a to 8d show distinct increases in CSA for larger AOD, except for absorbing aerosol types with  $\omega_{550\text{nm}} \leq 0.9$  (i.e. urban and continental). CSA at larger scattering angles (Fig. 8e to 8f) are found to depend less on AOD.

## 3.2 Implications to aerosol remote sensing

The results of this study have several significant implications for the remote sensing of aerosols from satellite observations.

### 3.2.1 Implication to aerosol optical depth retrieval

The AOD retrieval error as a function of surface albedo for an under- and overestimation of surface albedo of 0.01 for different aerosol types is shown in Fig. 8. We define the AOD retrieval error as  $\tau_{\text{true}}^{\text{aer}} - \tau_{\text{retrieved}}^{\text{aer}}$  with  $\tau_{\text{true}}^{\text{aer}} = 0.3$  in this example.

Seidel et al. (2011) and Sect. 2.3 have shown that the TOA reflectance is insensitive to AOD at the CSA and that it is therefore not possible to retrieve AOD from a single optical measurement at this surface albedo (see Eq. 6). This is demonstrated in Fig. 8 with AOD retrieval errors rising towards the CSA (indicated by the vertical red line). AOD retrieval errors close the the CSA are exceptionally high and usually exceeding 100 %, although the simulated error of the surface albedo is quite small with 0.01.

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



In general, for surface albedo values smaller than the CSA an overestimation of the surface albedo leads to an underestimation of AOD and therefore a positive retrieval error in our examples. The opposite is the case for surface albedo values higher than the CSA where the overestimation of the surface albedo leads to an overestimation of AOD and thus a negative retrieval error. The latter is due to the decrease in TOA reflectance by increasing AOD over bright surfaces. Especially for aerosol models with high and moderate absorption characteristics (Fig. 8a, 8c, and 8e), the AOD retrieval errors over bright surfaces are surprisingly low ( $<0.05$  or  $\sim 15\%$ ). This suggests that bright surfaces, such as snow and ice or clouds, are ideal to retrieve AOD of absorbing aerosols with  $\omega_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}} < 0.9$ , e.g. of black carbon. Bright desert regions, a major natural source of atmospheric aerosols, have often a surface albedo close to the CSA, which turns out to be very challenging for spaceborne AOD retrievals or the derivation of albedo products in deserted areas of the world (e.g. Popp et al., 2011). Knowledge about the CSA might help to improve both AOD and SSA retrievals in arid regions and might indirectly (e.g. through atmospheric correction) lead to better albedo products in arid regions derived from remote sensing data. Our results show also that the AOD of less absorbing aerosols with  $\omega_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}} > 0.9$  can be retrieved over dark surfaces with  $a_{\lambda} \leq 0.2$  because their CSA is far from the surface albedo of many surfaces, such as water, vegetation, soil, asphalt and others.

However, the observation and solar geometry must be taken into account to avoid the CSA in AOD retrievals. In practice, scattering angles of less than  $110^{\circ}$  should be favoured in AOD retrievals over dark targets and scattering angles around  $120^{\circ}$  are ideal for retrievals over surfaces with an albedo of more than 0.5. Small scattering angles are given for nadir observation geometries at sunrise and sunset.

Finally, the AOD retrieval accuracy depends also on additional parameters not considered in the modelling study, such as gaseous absorption, accuracy of auxiliary data, or the sensor performance and measuring accuracy (Seidel et al., 2008).

### 3.2.2 Implication to single-scattering albedo retrieval

Figure 6 shows the non-linear spectral dependence of SSA, such that  $\omega_{412\text{nm}} \approx \omega_{550\text{nm}} > \omega_{865\text{nm}}$  for absorbing aerosol types ( $\omega < 0.93$ ) and  $\omega_{412\text{nm}} \approx \omega_{550\text{nm}} \approx \omega_{865\text{nm}}$  for weakly absorbing aerosols ( $\omega > 0.93$ ). An initial retrieval of CSA or its estimation from a LUT potentially provides a good strategy to determine the SSA, which allows to estimate a corresponding aerosol model.

According to the findings in Sect. 3.1.2, a polynomial fit to the CSA as a function of SSA could be used to identify the SSA corresponding to a retrieved CSA (see Fig. 6). Our results could potentially help to improve existing methods to estimate SSA using critical reflectance methods (Kaufman, 1987; de Almeida Castanho et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2011). The difference of our analysis to the above-mentioned studies is that we do not assume linear relations between TOA and AOD or between surface albedo and TOA. As a consequence, we calculate the CSA by determining the derivative of TOA reflectance with respect to AOD. This might allow to expand the derivation of SSA to cases where a linear fit fails or is prone to fitting errors. Nevertheless, an accurate determination of SSA using remote sensing is still a difficult task but promises to distinguish between absorbing and less or non-absorbing aerosol types.

### 3.2.3 Implication to atmospheric correction

Atmospheric correction of satellite images is an important prerequisite to obtain surface properties for many remote sensing applications. Often, aerosol micro-physical and optical properties are unknown and therefore assumed prior to the atmospheric correction. The presented results show clearly that uncertainties in surface albedo estimations have a strong impact on AOD retrievals. On the other hand, an inaccurate estimate of the AOD will have a much smaller effect on the accuracy of atmospherically corrected reflectance if the surface albedo is around the CSA than if the surface albedo is much lower or higher than the CSA because of the weak sensitivity of the TOA reflectance to AOD around the CSA.

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 4 Summary and conclusions

With this work, we provide a sensitivity analysis of the reflectance at TOA as function of surface albedo to AOD, as well as of the resulting CSA to various parameters. We show that the CSA depends mainly on SSA, scattering angle, wavelength and AOD.

5 Although, previous studies (Fraser and Kaufman, 1985; Kaufman, 1987; de Almeida Castanho et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2011) assumed that the CSA is almost independent of AOD. We show that this assumption is a only reasonable for strong absorbing aerosol types and for scattering angles larger than  $150^\circ$ . We determine the CSA with partial derivatives of the reflectance at TOA with respect to AOD, whereas the above-  
10 mentioned studies assumed linear relations between these two quantities.

AOD retrievals over surfaces with a reflectance factor or albedo close to the CSA will result in large errors due to the low sensitivity of the observed quantity (reflectance or radiance at TOA) to the retrieved quantity. Thus, small inaccuracies of the estimated surface albedo lead to large AOD retrieval errors. On the other side, we showed that  
15 the retrieval error is rather small for absorbing aerosols over bright surfaces. This offers interesting opportunities for deriving AOD over snow or clouds. The CSA may be even used in theory to determine the aerosol type from TOA reflectance measurements because the CSA values depend strongly on SSA, which are well separated for different aerosol models, except for the non-absorbing particles. Furthermore, conditions close  
20 and at the CSA reduce the impact of AOD uncertainties in atmospherically corrected remote sensing data.

The results in this paper suggests that AOD retrievals close to the CSA will be prone to rather large errors. Such retrievals could be problematic in terms of fast convergence and finding the correct solution (global minima). Therefore, we recommend the use of  
25 a priori information in retrieval algorithms on the sensitivity of the measured reflectance (or radiance) at TOA to AOD. This could help to avoid unnecessary computational time and allow to include error estimations in the final product.

### Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



*Acknowledgements.* C. Popp acknowledges Hyper-Swiss-Net which is jointly funded by the Swiss University Conference and the ETH Board as an Innovation/Cooperation project (Reference number C-19). Suggestions from Alexander A. Kokhanovsky were very much appreciated.

## References

- Ångström, A.: The albedo of various surfaces of ground, *Geogr. Ann.*, 7, 323–342, 1925. 7729
- Brunekeerf, B. and Holgate, S. T.: Air pollution and health, *The Lancet*, 360, 1233–1242, 2002. 7726
- Chandrasekhar, S.: *Radiative Transfer*, Dover, New York, USA, 1960. 7729
- d’Almeida, G., Koepke, P., and Shettle, E.: *Atmospheric aerosols: global climatology and radiative characteristics*, Deepak, Hampton, Virginia, USA, 1991. 7730, 7742
- de Almeida Castanho, A. D., Vanderlei Martins, J., and Artaxo, P.: MODIS Aerosol Optical Depth Retrievals with high spatial resolution over an Urban Area using the Critical Reflectance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D02201, doi:10.1029/2007JD008751, 2008. 7727, 7731, 7733, 7736, 7737
- Dubovik, O., Holben, B., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Kaufman, Y. J., King, M. D., Tanré, D., and Slutsker, I.: Variability of Absorption and Optical Properties of Key Aerosol Types Observed in Worldwide Locations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 590–608, 2002. 7730, 7742
- Fraser, R. S. and Kaufman, Y. J.: The relative importance of aerosol scattering and absorption in remote sensing, *IEEE J. Geosci. Remote. GE-23*, 525–633, 1985. 7727, 7733, 7737
- Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenue, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET – A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 66, 1–16, 1998. 7730
- IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 7726, 7727
- Kaufman, Y.: Satellite Sensing of Aerosol Absorption, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 4307–4317, 1987. 7727, 7731, 7733, 7736, 7737

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





- Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Remer, L. A., Vermote, E. F., Chu, A., and Holben, B. N.: Operational remote sensing of tropospheric aerosol over land from EOS moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 17051–17067, 1997. 7727
- Kokhanovsky, A. A. and Leeuw, G. D.: *Satellite aerosol remote sensing over land*, Environmental Sciences, Springer Praxis Books, 2009. 7727
- Kotchenova, S. Y., Vermote, E. F., Matarrese, R., and Klemm, F. J.: Validation of a vector version of the 6S radiative transfer code for atmospheric correction of satellite data, Part I: Path radiance, *Appl. Optics*, 45, 6762–6774, doi:10.1364/AO.45.006762, 2006. 7728
- Kotchenova, S. Y., Vermote, E. F., Levy, R., and Lyapustin, A.: Radiative transfer codes for atmospheric correction and aerosol retrieval: intercomparison study, *Appl. Optics*, 47, 2215–2226, 2008. 7728
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 715–737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005. 7726
- Popp, C., Hauser, A., Foppa, N., and Wunderle, S.: Remote sensing of aerosol optical depth over central Europe from MSG-SEVIRI data and accuracy assessment with ground-based AERONET measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D24S11, doi:10.1029/2007JD008423, 2007. 7727
- Popp, C., Wang, P., Brunner, D., Stammes, P., Zhou, Y., and Grzegorski, M.: MERIS albedo climatology for FRESCO+ O<sub>2</sub> A-band cloud retrieval, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 463–483, doi:10.5194/amt-4-463-2011, 2011. 7735
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, *Science*, 294, 2119–2124, doi:10.1126/science.1064034, 2001. 7726
- Riffler, M., Popp, C., Hauser, A., Fontana, F., and Wunderle, S.: Validation of a modified AVHRR aerosol optical depth retrieval algorithm over Central Europe, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 3, 1255–1270, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1255-2010, 2010. 7730
- Russell, P. B., Livingston, J. M., Pueschel, R. F., Bauman, J. J., Pollack, J. B., Brooks, S. L., Hamill, P., Thomason, L. W., Stowe, L. L., Deshler, T., Dutton, E. G., and Bergstrom, R. W.: Global to microscale evolution of the Pinatubo volcanic aerosol derived from diverse measurements and analyses, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 18745–18763, doi:10.1029/96JD01162, 1996. 7730, 7742
- Satheesh, S. K.: *Letter to the Editor* Aerosol radiative forcing over land: effect of surface and cloud reflection, *Ann. Geophys.*, 20, 2105–2109, doi:10.5194/angeo-20-2105-2002, 2002. 7728

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Seidel, F., Schläpfer, D., Nieke, J., and Itten, K.: Sensor Performance Requirements for the Retrieval of Atmospheric Aerosols by Airborne Optical Remote Sensing, *Sensors*, 8, 1901–1914, doi:10.3390/s8031901, 2008. 7735

Seidel, F. C., Kokhanovsky, A. A., and Schaepman, M. E.: Fast retrieval of aerosol optical depth and its sensitivity to surface albedo using remote sensing data, *Atmos. Res.*, in Press, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.03.006, 2011. 7727, 7734

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, 1326 pp., 1998. 7728

Sobolev, V. V.: *Light scattering in planetary atmospheres* (translated as *Light scattering in planetary atmospheres*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975), Nauka, Moscow, 1972. 7729

Teillet, P. M., Fedosejevs, G., Ahern, F. J., and Gauthier, R. P.: Sensitivity of surface reflectance retrieval to uncertainties in aerosol optical properties, *Appl. Optics*, 33, 3933–3940, 1994. 7727

Vermote, E. F., Tanré, D., Deuzé, J. L., Herman, M., and Morcrette, J.-J.: Second Simulation of the Satellite Signal in the Solar Spectrum, 6S: an overview, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 35, 675–686, 1997. 7728

Zhang, J. and Reid, J. S.: A decadal regional and global trend analysis of the aerosol optical depth using a data-assimilation grade over-water MODIS and Level 2 MISR aerosol products, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10949–10963, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10949-2010, 2010. 7730

Zhu, L., Martins, J. V., and Remer, L. A.: Biomass burning aerosol absorption measurements with MODIS using the critical reflectance method, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D07202, doi:10.1029/2010JD015187, 2011. 7727, 7731, 7733, 7736, 7737

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

**Table 1:** Parameters and their discretisation (in parentheses) of the simulated conditions.

Viewing azimuth angle, $\phi$	0°, 90°, 180°
Viewing zenith angle, $\theta$	0°, 30°, 60°
Solar azimuth angle, $\phi_0$	180°
Solar zenith angle, $\theta_0$	0°, 15°, 30°, 45°, 60°
Surface albedo, $a_\lambda$	0.0(0.1)1.0
Wavelength, $\lambda$	412 nm, 550 nm, 865 nm
AOD, $\tau_{550\text{nm}}$	0.0, 0.05, 0.1(0.1)0.5, 0.75, 1.0
Sensor-, Target level	TOA, Sea level

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




**Table 2:** Micro-physical and optical properties of the aerosol models at 550 nm.  $g$  and  $\alpha$  denote the asymmetry parameter and Ångström exponent between 412 nm and 865 nm, respectively. The continental, urban and maritime aerosol models are composed of a mixture of basic aerosol properties (water-soluble, soot, dust, and oceanic) from (d’Almeida et al., 1991). The background desert aerosol model was adopted from d’Almeida et al. (1991), the biomass burning model from Dubovik et al. (2002) and the stratospheric model from Russell et al. (1996).


	$\omega_{412\text{nm}}$	$\omega_{550\text{nm}}$	$\omega_{865\text{nm}}$	$g$	$\alpha$
Continental	0.901	0.893	0.857	0.619	1.327
Maritime	0.989	0.989	0.987	0.638	1.323
Urban	0.696	0.689	0.630	0.515	1.350
Desert	0.924	0.966	0.992	0.665	1.008
Biomass	0.943	0.932	0.896	0.623	2.004
Stratospheric	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.808	0.302

# Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp



(a) Separated Rayleigh and aerosol phase functions



(b) Total phase functions

**Fig. 1:** Rayleigh, aerosol and total phase functions  $P_{550\text{nm}}$  versus scattering angle  $\Theta$  according to Eq. (4) at 550 nm, where  $P_{\lambda}(\Theta) = \frac{P_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}}(\Theta)\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}} + P_{\lambda}^{\text{Ray}}(\Theta)\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{Ray}}}{\tau_{\lambda}^{\text{aer}} + \tau_{\lambda}^{\text{Ray}}}$  with  $\tau_{550\text{nm}}^{\text{aer}} = 0.200$  and  $\tau_{550\text{nm}}^{\text{Ray}} = 0.098$ . The vertical gray lines indicate  $\Theta$  used in Figs. 6 and 8.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval**

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion



(a) Continental aerosol model at 412 nm




(b) Continental aerosol model at 550 nm




(c) Continental aerosol model at 865 nm


**Fig. 2:** TOA reflectance (Eq. 1) as function of surface albedo and different AOD values for a continental aerosol type at 412 nm, 550 nm, and 865 nm. Solar zenith angle is  $0^\circ$ , viewing zenith angle  $30^\circ$  and scattering angle  $150^\circ$ .



(a) Maritime aerosol model at 550 nm




(b) Urban aerosol model at 550 nm




(c) Desert aerosol model at 550 nm


**Fig. 3:** TOA reflectance (Eq. 1) as function of surface albedo and different AOD values at 550 nm for different aerosol types. Solar zenith angle is  $0^\circ$ , viewing zenith angle  $30^\circ$  and scattering angle  $150^\circ$ .



(a) AOD = 0.05



(b) AOD = 0.2



(c) AOD = 1.0

**Fig. 4:** Derivative of TOA reflectance with respect to AOD as a function of surface albedo for different aerosol models.

## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp




**Fig. 5:** TOA reflectance  $R_{550\text{nm}}^{\text{TOA}}(\tau_{550\text{nm}}^{\text{aer}} \in [0.0, 0.05, 0.1 (0.1) 0.5, 0.75, 1.0])$  according to Eq. (1) as a function of CSA. Solar zenith angle is  $0^\circ$ , viewing zenith angle  $30^\circ$  and scattering angle  $150^\circ$ .

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)




# Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp



**Fig. 6:** CSA as function of SSA for AOD = 0.2 at 412 nm, 550 nm and 865 nm, as well as for different aerosol types and different combinations of viewing azimuth angle  $\phi$ , solar zenith angle  $\theta_0$ , viewing zenith angle  $\theta$  and the corresponding scattering angle  $\Theta$ .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp



**Fig. 7:** CSA as a function of scattering angle for AOD = 0.2 at 550 nm. Certain combinations of solar and observing geometries have the same scattering angle, which leads to multiple results per aerosol model, especially for less absorbing aerosol types.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



# Critical surface albedo and AOD retrieval

F. C. Seidel and C. Popp



**Fig. 8:** AOD retrieval error ( $\tau_{\text{true}}^{\text{aer}} - \tau_{\text{retrieved}}^{\text{aer}}$ ) as a function of surface albedo at 550 nm for different aerosol types with  $\tau_{\text{true}}^{\text{aer}} = 0.3$  at  $\phi = 0^\circ$ ,  $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$ , and  $\theta = 30^\circ$ . The plus signs denote an overestimation of surface albedo by +0.01 and the minus signs an underestimation of surface albedo by -0.01. The CSA is provided by the red line.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

